## **REMARKS**

In the subject Office Action, the examiner entered a restriction requirement and rejected Claims 1-2 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Stojanovski '977. Applicants request reconsideration of their application in view of this amendment, which contains a provisional election, an amendment of Claims 3-4 and an addition of new Claims 5-7.

Applicants provisionally elect to prosecute Species II as defined by the examiner in the Detailed Action, without traverse of the restriction requirement. Accordingly, the claims (Claims 1-2) directed to Species I are denoted herein as "withdrawn". Accordingly, Applicants request that the rejection under 35 USC 102(b) be withdrawn.

Claim 3 has been rewritten in independent format, Claim 4 has been amended for consistency, and new dependent Claims 5-7 have been entered. Applicants submit that Claims 3-7 define subject matter that is clearly patentable over the prior art of record, and respectfully request that such claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark A. Navarre, Attorney Registration No. 29572

W. Powarse

Telephone: (937) 653-3501